APPENDIX C Implementation Monitoring Form (3/2/15)

Forest: Eldorado National Forest	District: Placerville	County: Eldorado
Observers: Steve 6. Mari	Kman, Hydrologist	Date: 1412 4, 2015 Time: 1330
Number and/or name of road or trail:	1/N23F	UTM: 10 5 0744 673 & ext road cro
Meadow or Aquatic Feature reference	number: MNV 23 F-1	4292430) of meadow
	Current Conditions	
Current weather: Mostly	cloudy, a few light spi	rinkly of rain,
	tly? If so, describe event (estimated rainfa	all amount, duration of storm, etc.):
Light rain in pas	t 24 hours,	
(Select the best answer Moderate Slightly w Survey) Mostly dr	(Standing or flowing surface water present in ly wet (Surface water in part of feature and/ovet (No surface water. Ground surface wet in y (No surface water. Ground surface dry in ourface water. Ground surface dry in entire for the surface water.	or ground surface wet in most of feature). a part of feature). most of feature).
Rolling dip/waterbars	Sediment basin/trapGravel	(describe in section below)
Rolling dip/waterbar Is water effectively routed off the trail? Yes No Are rills or gullies present? Yes No If yes, for what length? Are they introducing sediment to the aquatic feature? Yes No Does the rolling dip/waterbar need maintenance or reconstruction? Yes No		No I TOTAL AND DOUBLE
If yes, describe:	and the second s	reaching the meadow
Is the basin effective at catching all see How full is basin with sediment?		Comments
Does the basin need to be emptied? Is additional work necessary for the se <i>If yes, describe:</i>	YesNo diment basin to function properly?Yes	s No
Gravel/rock placement Has the gravel/rock remained in place. Is there bare ground present where roc If yes, how much?<10% Is additional gravel/rock necessary? If yes, describe:	? Yes No k/gravel was placed? Yes No 25% 50% >75%	Comments:

Implementation Monitoring Form (3/2/15)

Drivable ford Not Applicable At the time of the survey, is water present? Yes No	Comments		
At the time of the survey, is water present? Yes No			
Has any part of the hardened approach failed? Yes No If yes, describe:			
if yes, describe.			
Is sediment being introduced from the approaches at the crossing? Yes No			
If yes, describe:			
Trail no neutral Mark A a a la	Comments		
Is there evidence of erosion or sedimentation on or originating from the new trail segment?	Comments		
Yes No If yes, answer the following questions.			
What is the evidence of erosion or sedimentation?			
rill erosion gully erosion sheet erosion rutting			
in closed gaily closed.			
turbid water sediment plume or accumulation			
change in substrate composition other (describe)			
What are the sources of erosion or sedimentation? Check all that apply:			
trail surface trail cut-slope trail fill-slope			
unhardened crossing approach poor trail location			
post trait rocation			
rolling dip, water bar, other drainage feature inadequate soil cover			
in sufficient mumber of system control features improves and			
insufficient number of water control features improper grade			
improper construction of water control features bare ground on trail			
other (describe):			
Is the erosion or sedimentation impacting an aquatic feature? Yes No			
If yes, what type of aquatic feature?			
stream meadow wetland spring/seep fen			
other aquatic feature (describe:)			
Describe impacts to aquatic feature:			
Describe any work necessary to fix erosion/sedimentation issues associated with the re-route:			
	-		
Other corrective action (describe):	Comments		
Work.			
Has the corrective action resulted in negative impacts elsewhere?YesNo	L		
If yes, describe:			
1	1		

where necessary to restore connectivity.

June 4, 2015

Steve Markman

Implementation Monitoring Form (3/2/15)

Is there evidence of motorized use off of the trail? Yes No
If yes, describe:
Is there evidence of chemical or fuel spills? Yes No
lf yes, describe:
Criteria for Rating Standard & Guideline 100 of the SNFPA
Does the road or trail intercept and divert surface and/or subsurface water from the aquatic feature such that the aquatic
feature has decreased in size and/or wetness? Yes No If yes, describe in comments section below.
Has runoff from the road or trail eroded sediment into the aquatic feature such that the size and/or wetness of the aquatic
feature has been reduced? Yes No If yes, describe in comments section below.
Has runoff from the road or trail caused a stream channel to downcut such that the water table has dropped and decreased the size and/or wetness of an aquatic feature? Yes No If yes, describe in comments section below.
the size androf wethess of an aquatic feature: res roo if yes, describe in comments section below.
Comments:
The three rolling dips are effectively routing runoff
The three rolling dips are effectively routing runoff and seliment off of the rock before reaching the
and sediment of the low beauty the
rocator ant stram.
to serve to the profession from a sound on \$ to
Findings with Respect to Standard & Guideline 100
Yes. S&G #100 is being met with regard to this trail and aquatic features.
The state of the s
No. S&G #100 is NOT being met with regard to this trail and aquatic features.
Must check yes to one or more of the questions above.
I Inconclusive Field evidence and sufficients by
I. Inconclusive. Field evidence not sufficient to determine if S&G 100 is being met.
S&G 100: Maintain and restore the hydrologic connectivity of streams, meadows, wetlands, and other special aquatic features by
identifying roads and trails that intercept, divert, or disrupt natural surface and subsurface water flow paths. Implement corrective actions